have been made of the quantity of produce to be given to the khatedars.

Reply of Government.—The remedy appears to lie in the parties specifying the maximum amount recoverable under the chit. The Deputy Commissioner, however, will be asked to enquire and report whether there are many cases of this kind and whether there is any real hardship.

SUBJECT No. 60.

Hypothecation deeds involving immovable property worth less than Rs. 100 to be registered.

Mr. T. Devappa, Shikarpur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The registration of hypothecation deeds involving immovable property worth less than Rs. 100 is not compulsory and consequently there is scope for persons to deceive the money-lenders by hypothecating the same property to more than one person and getting only one of the documents registered. In Civil Courts preference is given to registered documents. It should therefore be ruled that all documents involving immovable property worth Rs. 100 or less should be registered. This will prevent fraud.

Reply of Government.—This is not expedient. Judging from the growing number of optional registrations, no compulsory provision seems called for.

SUBJECT No. 61.

Registration fees on documents.

Messrs. Subba Rao of Heggaddevankote; Krishna Rao of T. Narsipur; Padmanna of Nanjangud Taluk; Chandrasekharaiya and Gundappa of Nagamangala; Lingegowda of Mysore Taluk; Shama Iyengar of Chamrajnagar; Amble Annaiya Pandit, Mysore District Board; Sahukar Nagappa, Manjaiya Udupara, Nagar Taluk; Y. Subba Rao, Sagar Taluk; Padmarajaiya, Krishnappa, Channarayapatna Taluk; G. Subba Rao, Hole-Narsipur Taluk; Hutcheeregowda, Gubbi Taluk; Desakulakarni Dasappa, Channalingappa of Nelamangala Taluk; Ranga Iyengar of Channapatna Taluk; Shanbhog Shamaiya of Kankanhalli Taluk; Varadachar and Narasimhaiya of Chikballapur; Chikkannaiya of Goribidnur; Naranappa of Sidlaghatta; B. Sitaramaiya of Chikmagalur Taluk; Srikantaiya, Maddagiri Taluk; Chikramanna and Suryanaranappa, Kolar Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—According to the new Registration Rules, much difficulty is felt by the public owing to the recovery of a fee of two annas for every 100 words in a document. As per old rules, a fee of four annas was being levied on every 100 words in excess of the first 300 words. As the Government income increases, the public expect proportionate good. As the new rules have proved a hardship, it is prayed that Government may be pleased to pass proceedings discontinuing the levy of fees in proportion to the words contained in the document.

Reply of Government.—It is only an experiment and will be reconsidered after a year, if necessary.

SUBJECT No. 62.

Sub-Registrars' fees for registration of documents at the residence of the parties.

(*a*) Messrs. Ameen Krishnappa and Sivappa Deekshit, Shikarpur Taluk.
(*b*) Messrs. Varadachr and Y. Narasimhaiya of Chikballapur.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Sub-Registrars when required to register documents at places other than their office, charge a commission of Rs. 10 as fees and a mileage of four annas. This is heavy. Many cannot ask the Sub-Registrars to go to their villages for registration. It is therefore requested that the mileage of Sub-Registrars may be ordered to be paid out of the commission amount instead of making it an additional levy.

(*b*) The rate of Rs. 10 fixed as commission to be paid to Sub-Registrars for registration at private residences is high. The rate may be reduced to five rupees.